

Essential Changes to the Interlocal Agreement

Throughout the document

- Updates to State Statute citations

Section 2

- Made a small change to definition of Certificate of Concurrency because the County issues an approval letter not a certificate, while the City does issue a certificate

Section 5

- Changed the commenting period to 15 days in order to accommodate School Board timeframes
- Removed the word “construction” and added the word “development” in order to reference the correct permits

Section 8

- Included a reference to Community Development projects to allow the School Board to provide official comments on how such projects could affect schools
- This section explains the Planning Level Review process so references to processes other than plan amendments, rezonings and DRIs was removed
- Clarified the Planning Level Review process and clearly outlined the obligations of each party
- Tweaked the review timeframes so that School Board receives the information earlier and so that City/County staff receive the comments early enough for incorporation into staff reports

Section 10

- Added the word “final” in front of references to site plan approval, subdivision approval, plat approval or functional equivalent so that it is clear that school concurrency will be applied at final review not preliminary review (also in Section 11 on Page 23)

Section 11

- Added a sentence to clarify that the County does not grant conditional approvals of final site plans or subdivisions plans
- Added a sentence requiring the School Board’s concurrency review fee to be submitted to the local government with the development application form
- Clarified the three options of a developer if there is not adequate concurrency to serve their development: provide proportionate share mitigation, revise the application, or withdraw the application
- Specified that modifications or extensions of permits requires new review for concurrency